

MATERIALS ON PROPERTY PART I

D. Mendes da Costa Faculty of Law University of Toronto

1976-77 EDITION

Faculty of Law University of Toronto Toronto, Ontario 560 M4 1976 pt.1

SEP 1-1 1976

MATERIALS ON PROPERTY

PART I

D. Mendes da Costa Faculty of Law University of Toronto

1976-77 EDITION

Faculty of Law University of Toronto Toronto, Ontario Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2018 with funding from University of Toronto

CONTENTS - PART 1

PERSONAL PROPERTY

PREFACE

Eads et al. v. Brazelton

The Tubantia

Keron v. Cashman

Edmonds v. Ronella

CHAPTER 1

Section 1 Problem 1.1.1 Tucker v. Farm and General Investment Trust Ltd. 1.1.2 Problem 1.1.4 The Human Tissue Gift Act 1.1.5 Edmonds v. Armstrong Funeral Home Ltd. 1.1.9 Problem | 1.1.12 THE MEANING OF PROPERTY Rapistan Canada Ltd. v. Minister of National Revenue 1.1.13 Note 1.1.15 International News Service v. The Associated Press 1.1:16 Desny v. Wilder 1.1.23 Rex v. MacEwen 1.1.26 1.1.28 Victoria Park Racing and Recreation Grounds Company Limited v. Taylor 1.1.29 Krouse v. Chrysler Canada Ltd. 1.1.35 Note 1.1.37 The Privacy Act 1.1.38 Section 2 DISTINCTION BETWEEN REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY Aigler, Smith and Tefft, Cases on Property 1.2.1 CHAPTER II ACQUISITION OF POSSESSION OF THINGS Section 1 ABANDONED OR NOT FORMERLY OWNED Pierson v. Post 2.2.1 Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property 2.1.3 State of Ohio v. Shaw 2.1.4 Section 2 HOW LONG POSSESSION ONCE ACQUIRED WILL BE DEEMED TO CONTINUE Campbell v. Hedley 2.2.1 Ghen v. Rich 2.2.7 Holmes, The Common Law 2.2.9 M.V. "Polar Star"et al. v. Arsenault et al. Section 3 OWNERSHIP IN RELATION TO POSSESSION Wilson v. Lombank Ltd. 2.3.1 CHAPTER III POSSESSION AND THE FINDING CASES **Problem** 3.1.1 Section 1 ELEMENTS OF FINDING

3.1.2

3.1.5

3.1.9 3.1.10

Section 2 RIGHTS OF FINDER AGAINST OTHER CLAIMANTS 3.2.1 Armory v. Delamirie 3.2.2 Regina v. Shymkowich 3.2.5 The Criminal Code 3.2.7 Grafstein v. Holme and Freeman 3.2.15 Note 3.2.16 White v. Alton-Lewis Ltd. et al. 3.2.21 Haynen v. Mundle 3.2.21 Buell v. Foley 3.2.22 The Toronto Daily Star 3.2.23 Moffatt and another v. Kazana 3.2.27 H.E. Dibble Ltd. v. Moore West, Third Party 3.2.28 The Law of Property Act, 1925 3.2.28 The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF FINDER Section 3 IN RELATION TO OWNER 3.3.1 Isaack v. Clark 3.3.1 Nicholson v. Chapman 3.3.3 Meekins v. Simpson 3.3.4 The Railways Act 3.3.4 Notes 3.3.5 Problems CHAPTER IV EXTINGUISHMENT OF TITLE 4.1.1 Problem Section 1 THE PERIOD OF LIMITATION Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of 4.1.2 Actions (1969) pages 9-10 4.1.2 The Limitations Act Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) page 35 4.1.3 Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of 4.1.3 Actions (1969) pages 41-42 Section 2 EXTINGUISHMENT OF RIGHT Statute of Limitations 4.2.1 4.2.1 Chapin et al. v. Freeland 4.2.3 Priester v. Milleman Note 4.2.5 St. Vladimir College and Minor Seminary v. Champs Take 4.2.5 Home Ltd. Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) pages 126-133 4.2.7 Note 4.2.8 Note 4.2.9 Re Karkut and Highway Traffic Board (Sask.) et al. 4.2.10 Note 4.2.11 Section 3 DETINUE AND CONVERSION Clayton v. LeRoy 4.3.1 Baud Corp. v. Brook 4.3.2 Wilkinson v. Verity 4.3.3 Thomas Brown and Sons Limited v. Fazal Deen and Another 4.3.5 Section 4 ABSENCE OF KNOWLEDGE Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) pages 100-101, 108-111 4.4.1 The Limitations Act 4.4.4 Eddis v. Chichester Constable 4.4.5

4.4.9

Problem |

CHAPTER V

BAILMENTS

Section 1 INTRODUCTION

OCCUPANT INTRODUCTION	
Mears v. Sayers Note	5.1.1 5.1.3
Section 2 NATURE OF AND DISTINCTION BETWEEN BAILMENTS AND SIMILAR TRANSACTIONS	<u>3</u>
Bata v. City Parking Canada Ltd. Heffron v. Imperial Parking Co. et al. Notes B.G. Transport Service Ltd. v. Marston Motors Co. Ltd. Allison Concrete Ltd. v. Canadian Pacific Ltd. Seaspan International Ltd. et al. v. The Ship "Kotis Prois" et al. Note Crawford v. Kingston and Johnston Wiebe v. Lepp MacDonald v. Whittaker Textiles (Marysville) Ltd.	5.2.1 5.2.4 5.2.8 5.2.9 5.2.17 5.2.19 5.2.24 5.2.25 5.2.26 5.2.28
Section 3 DUTIES OF BAILEES	
Southcote's Case Coggs v. Bernard Morris v. C.W. Martin & Sons Ltd. Lawson v. Dartmouth Moving & Storage Ltd., Jossinet v. Dartmouth Moving & Storage Ltd. Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce v. Doucette Edwards v. Newland & Co.; E. Burchett, L.D., Third Party Note Woods Motors Ltd. v. MacTavish et al. Brookins v. Canadian National Railway Co. et al. Mansfield Importers and Distributors Ltd. v. Casco Terminals Ltd.	5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.4 5.3.10 5.3.16 5.3.20 5.3.24 5.3.25 5.3.27
Note National Trust Co. Ltd. v. Wong Aviation Ltd. et al. Canadian National Railway Co. v. Hammill United Refrigerator Parts Co. Ltd. v. Consolidated Fastfrate Ltd. et al. Note	5.3.32 5.3.33 5.3.40 5.3.44 5.3.48
Section 4 DUTIES OF BAILORS	
Coleshaw v. Lipsett MacTague v. Inland Lines Limited	5.4.1 5.4.2
Section 5 EXCULPATORY CLAUSES	
Rutter v. Palmer Woolmer v. Delmer Price Ltd. Hunt & Winterbotham (West of England) Ltd. v. B.R.S.	5.5.1 5.5.3
(Parcels) Ltd. Samuel Smith & Sons Ltd. v. Silverman Leva v. Lam et al. Mendelssohn v. Normand Minichiello et al. v. Devonshire Hotel (1967) Ltd. McCutcheon v. David MacBrayne Ltd. Note	5.5.5 5.5.16 5.5.19 5.5.20 5.5.23 5.5.28 5.5.32
Section 6 RIGHT OF BAILEE TO SUE THIRD PERSO	N
The Winkfield Thorne v. MacGregor	5.6.1 5.6.6

Associates Discount Corporation v. Gillineau Note National Bond & Investment Co. v. Gill Courtenay v. Knutson	5.6.8 5.6.9 5.6.10 5.6.12
Section 7 RIGHT OF BAILOR TO SUE THIRD PERSON	
Donald v. Suckling Chapman v. Robinson and Ferguson	5.7.1 5.7.3
Section 8 SPECIAL BAILMENTS - INKEEPERS	
Laing v. Allied Innkeepers Ltd. R. & R. Cunningham Enterprises Ltd. v. Vollmers Note Note Theeman v. Forte Properties Ltd. The Innkeepers Act	5.8.1 5.8.7 5.8.9 5.8.9 5.8.10 5.8.12
The Thirkeopers Acc	0.0112
CHAPTER VI	
GIFTS	
Section 1 INTRODUCTION	
McIntyre v. Royal Trust Co. Problem	6.1.1 6.1.2
Irons v. Smallpiece Cochrane v. Moore Brown, The Law of Personal Property Thomas v. Times Book Co. Ltd. Nesbitt v. Chester et al. Kingsmill v. Kingsmill In Re Cole, A Bankrupt. Ex Parte the Trustee of the	6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.10 6.1.11 6.1.16 6.1.20
Property of the Bankrupt Dewar v. Dewar Note The Family Law Reform Act	6.1.23 6.1.29 6.1.33 6.1.33
Problem	6.1.34
Section 2 CHOSE IN ACTION	
Sanderson v. Halstead The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act Kellas et al. v. Chapman Note	6.2.1 6.2.6 6.2.9 6.2.11
Section 3 DISCLAIMER	
Re Paradise Motor Co. Ltd.	6.3.1
CHAPTER VII	
GIFTS MORTIS CAUSA	
Section 1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	
Thompson v. Mechan Note Notes Problems	7.1.1 7.1.4 7.1.5 7.1.5
Note	7.1.5
Section 2 CHOSE IN ACTION	
Re Kuyat Dreyer and Dreyer v. Official Administrator Randell's Estate v. Randell et al. Problem	7.2.1 7.2.3 7.2.5

CHAPTER VIII

FACTORS VITIATING AN OTHERWISE VALID GIFT

Section 1

Crossley Vaines, Personal Property	8.1.1
Note	8.1.1
In re Craig, Meneces v. Middleton	8.1.2
Public Trustee v. Skoretz	8.1.7
Slovchenko v. Toronto-Dominion Bank and Mereshko	8.1.13
Rushford v. Hunchuk	8.1.16
Note	8.1.19
McTaggart v. Boffo	8.1.20
Note	8.1.23
Stoppel v. Loesner	8.1.24
Note	8.1.25

CHAPTER IX

THE TRUST COMPARED

Section 1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

The Statute of Frauds	9.1.1
Note	9.1.1
Richards v. Delbridge	9.1.2
Re Mellen	9.1.4
Davies v. Bonnell	9.1.5
Note	9.1.11
Anderson v. Patton	9.1.12
Carson et al. v. Wilson et al.	9.1.15

CHAPTER X

DEEDS OF GIFT

Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.1.1
Carson et al. v. Wilson et al.	10.1.2
Dauphinee v. Height et al.	10.1.5
Zwicker v. Dorey	10.1.11
Land Titles Act	10.1.13

PREFACE

These materials are prepared for teaching purposes only. They are provided only for the purpose of discussion in class. They are to be used for no other purpose. The aim of these materials is to introduce the student to some general principles relating to the Law of Real and Personal Property. Volume 1 deals with some aspects of the Law of Personal Property. Volumes 2 to 5 are concerned with some aspects of the Law of Real Property and also with Leaseholds.

This material does not purport to be comprehensive or exhaustive. Further, it is compiled on the assumption that other topics, including, for example, land use planning, land finance, land development, real estate transactions, community planning, environmental control, and expropriation and dealt with later in the curriculum. These topics are not included within the scope of this Casebook.